arXiv:0705.1899v2 [math.NT] 12 Jun 2008

SELF-DUALITY OF SELMER GROUPS

TIM[†] AND VLADIMIR DOKCHITSER

Abstract. The first part of the paper gives a new proof of self-duality for Selmer groups: if A is an abelian variety over a number field K, and F/K is a Galois extension with Galois group G, then the $\mathbb{Q}_pG$-representation naturally associated to the p^∞-Selmer group of A/F is self-dual. The second part describes a method for obtaining information about parities of Selmer ranks from the local Tamagawa numbers of A in intermediate extensions of F/K.

1. Introduction

Let F/K be a Galois extension of number fields with Galois group G, and A an abelian variety defined over K. The action of G on the F-rational points of A defines a $\mathbb{Q}G$-representation $A(F)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$, which in particular recovers the Mordell-Weil ranks of A over all intermediate extensions.

Now if p is a prime number, there is an analogous picture for Selmer groups. Let

$$\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{X}_p(A/F)=(\text{Pontryagin dual of the } p^\infty\text{-Selmer group of } A/F)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p.$$

It is a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space whose dimension is the p^∞-Selmer rank $\mathrm{rk}_p(A/F)$, the Mordell-Weil rank plus the number of copies of $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ in the Tate-Shafarevich group Ш(A/F). Moreover, it is a G-representation, and it also recovers $\mathrm{rk}_p(A/L)$ for intermediate extensions as the dimension of $\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{Gal}(F/L)}$. In view of the conjectural finiteness of the Tate-Shafarevich group, $\mathcal{X}$ and $A(F)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p$ should be isomorphic as G-representations.

Decompose $\mathcal{X}\cong\bigoplus_\rho\rho^{\oplus m_\rho}$ into $\mathbb{Q}_pG$-irreducible constituents. The structure of $\mathcal{X}$ is encoded in the multiplicities m_ρ. Our main result (Theorem 1.6 below) may be stated as

$$\sum_{\rho\in S_\Theta} m_\rho \mod 2 \quad = \quad (\text{explicit local data})$$

for certain special sets S_Θ of representations.

A result of this type is proved in [3] for Mordell-Weil groups under the assumption that Ш(A/F) is finite, and it relies crucially on the existence of the

---

Date: June 12, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G40; Secondary 11G05, 11G10.

[†]Supported by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship.

height pairing. The Selmer group also admits a non-degenerate G-invariant pairing

$$\langle , \rangle : \ \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Equivalently,

Theorem 1.1. *$\mathcal{X}$ is self-dual as a $\mathbb{Q}_pG$-representation.*

This is essentially a consequence of Poitou-Tate duality, and may be deduced using the methods of Greenberg, see [6] Prop. 2. A proof in the general context of Bloch-Kato Selmer groups is given by Nekovář in [15] 12.5.9.5(iv). We present an alternative proof in §2.

Let us briefly record two straightforward consequences of self-duality, whose proofs we postpone to §2. The first of these relies on the parity conjecture for elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$, which is known thanks to the work of Birch–Stephens [1], Greenberg [5] and Guo [8] (E CM), Monsky [14] ($p = 2$), Nekovář [15] (p potentially ordinary), Kim [9] (p supersingular) and [3] (p odd). In particular, Theorem 1.2 is proved in [15] when E has potentially ordinary reduction at p.

Theorem 1.2 (= Theorem 2.8)**.** *Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve. For every abelian extension $F/\mathbb{Q}$ and every prime p,*

$$\mathrm{rk}_p(E/F) \equiv \mathrm{ord}_{s=1} L(E/F, s) \mod 2.$$

Theorem 1.3 (= Corollary 2.5)**.** *Let A/K be an abelian variety, and suppose F/K is Galois of odd degree. Then $\mathrm{rk}_p(A/F) \equiv \mathrm{rk}_p(A/K) \mod 2$.*

Returning to the representation-theoretic structure of $\mathcal{X}$, suppose we are given a relation between induced representations (fixed for the rest of the introduction),

$$\Theta : \ \bigoplus_i \mathrm{Ind}_{H_i}^G \mathbf{1} \cong \bigoplus_j \mathrm{Ind}_{H'_j}^G \mathbf{1} \qquad (H_i, H'_j < G).$$

Observe that Artin formalism forces an equality of L-functions

$$\prod L(A/F^{H_i}, s) = \prod L(A/F^{H'_j}, s),$$

and that the conjectural Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer formula at $s = 1$ implies a relation between the arithmetic invariants of A over these fields. As explained in [3] §2.2, most of these cancel modulo rational squares, leading to

Conjecture 1.4 (□-Conjecture)**.** *Suppose A has a principal polarisation induced by a K-rational divisor (e.g. A is an elliptic curve.) Then*

$$\frac{\prod_i \mathrm{Reg}(A/F^{H_i})}{\prod_j \mathrm{Reg}(A/F^{H'_j})} \equiv \frac{\prod_i C(A/F^{H_i})}{\prod_j C(A/F^{H'_j})} \mod \mathbb{Q}^{*2}.$$

Here Reg is the regulator, and C is a product of local terms (essentially Tamagawa numbers, see the list of notation below). The assumption on A guarantees that Ш modulo its divisible part has square order.

We showed ([3] Cor. 2.5) that this conjecture is implied by the Shafarevich-Tate conjecture on the finiteness of Ш. Now we use the pairing $\langle,\rangle$ on $\mathcal{X}$ to prove the corresponding unconjectural statement for Selmer groups. For every subgroup H of G define

$$\mathrm{Reg}_p^{\langle,\rangle}(A/F^H) = \det\bigl(\tfrac{1}{|H|}\langle,\rangle \bigm| \mathcal{X}^H\bigr) \quad \in \mathbb{Q}_p^*/\mathbb{Q}_p^{*2},$$

computing the determinant on any basis of $\mathcal{X}^H$. This, including the scaling, is analogous to the definition of $\mathrm{Reg}(A/F^H)$ as the determinant of the height pairing on $A(F^H)$ modulo torsion.

Theorem 1.5 (=Theorem 3.1)**.** *Suppose A/K is principally polarised. If $p=2$, assume furthermore that the principal polarisation on A is induced by a K-rational divisor. Then*

$$\mathrm{ord}_p \frac{\prod_i \mathrm{Reg}_p^{\langle,\rangle}(A/F^{H_i})}{\prod_j \mathrm{Reg}_p^{\langle,\rangle}(A/F^{H'_j})} \equiv \mathrm{ord}_p \frac{\prod_i C(A/F^{H_i})}{\prod_j C(A/F^{H'_j})} \mod 2.$$

The theorem may be used to express parities of Selmer ranks in terms of local invariants. The crucial point is that the left-hand side depends only on $\mathcal{X}$ as a $\mathbb{Q}_pG$-representation, and not on the pairing $\langle,\rangle$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of self-dual $\mathbb{Q}_pG$-representations, which are either irreducible or of the form $T\oplus T^*$ for some irreducible $T\not\cong T^*$ (T^* is the contragredient of T). Any self-dual $\mathbb{Q}_pG$-representation can be uniquely decomposed into such constituents. For every $\rho\in\mathcal{S}$, pick a non-degenerate G-invariant pairing $\langle\langle,\rangle\rangle$ on ρ, and define the *regulator constant*

$$\mathcal{C}(\Theta,\rho) = \frac{\prod_i \det(\frac{1}{|H_i|}\langle\langle,\rangle\rangle|\rho^{H_i})}{\prod_j \det(\frac{1}{|H'_j|}\langle\langle,\rangle\rangle|\rho^{H'_j})} \in \mathbb{Q}_p^*/\mathbb{Q}_p^{*2}.$$

Consider the set

$$\mathcal{S}_\Theta = \{\rho\in\mathcal{S} \mid \mathrm{ord}_p\,\mathcal{C}(\Theta,\rho)\equiv 1 \mod 2\}.$$

This is a "computable combination of representations" in the following sense:

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose A/K is principally polarised, and if $p=2$ assume furthermore that the polarisation is induced by a K-rational divisor. There is a decomposition $\mathcal{X}\cong\bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{S}}\rho^{\oplus m_\rho}$, and*

$$\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{S}_\Theta} m_\rho \equiv \mathrm{ord}_p \frac{\prod_i C(A/F^{H_i})}{\prod_j C(A/F^{H'_j})} \mod 2.$$

Proof. By self-duality, such a decomposition exists. Take the obvious pairing $\langle,\rangle$ on $\mathcal{X}$ coming from $\langle\langle,\rangle\rangle$ on its constituents and apply Theorem 1.5. □

Note that in practice the right-hand side is very explicit: it can be computed for elliptic curves by Tate's algorithm ([16] IV.9), for semistable abelian varieties from the monodromy pairing ([7] §10), and for Jacobians of curves using the intersection pairing ([2] §1).

In Examples 3.5–3.7 we will illustrate this theorem for specific relations when $G = D_{2p}, C_p \rtimes C_{p-1}$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. The first two were already considered in [3], but required ad hoc constructions of isogenies in absence of Theorem 1.6, see [3] Thm. 4.11, Prop. 4.17. The D_{2p}-extensions were also studied by Mazur and Rubin, who give another local expression for the same parity of Selmer ranks, see [11] Thm. A. We do not have an intrinsic description of the sets $\mathcal{S}_\Theta$ for a general group G.

In the forthcoming paper [4] we will expand on the properties of permutation relations and regulator constants, and address the question of the compatibility of Theorem 1.6 with root numbers. Under reasonably mild hypotheses on A (e.g. when A is an elliptic curve whose additive primes above 2 and 3 are unramified in F/K), we will show that the parity of $\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{S}_\Theta} m_\rho$ is indeed determined by the (conjectural) sign in the functional equation of a corresponding L-function, as predicted by the parity conjecture.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Christian Wuthrich for his comments.

Notation. Throughout the paper we fix

F/K	Galois extension of number fields
G	$\mathrm{Gal}(F/K)$
A/K	abelian variety with a fixed regular non-zero exterior form ω
p	prime number

For an intermediate field $K \subset L \subset F$, we use the following notation:

$\mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}(A/L)$	the p^∞-Selmer group $\varinjlim \mathrm{Sel}_{p^n}(A/L)$
$X_p(A/L)$	Pontryagin dual $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}(A/L), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ modulo torsion
$\mathcal{X}_p(A/L)$	$X_p(A/L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$
$\mathrm{rk}_p(A/L)$	p^∞-Selmer rank of A/L, i.e. $\dim \mathcal{X}_p(A/L)$
$C(A/L)$	$\prod c_v \lvert\omega/\omega_v^o\rvert_v$, where the product is taken over all primes of L, c_v is the local Tamagawa number, ω_v^o the Néron differential and $\lvert\cdot\rvert_v$ the normalised absolute value.

By convention, permutation modules $\mathbb{Q}[G/H] \cong \mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathbf{1}$ come with a standard basis of elements of G/H. With respect to this basis, the identity matrix defines a G-invariant pairing.

2. Self-duality

The purpose of this section is to prove

Theorem 2.1 (=Theorem 1.1)**.** *Suppose F/K be a Galois extension of number fields with Galois group G, A/K an abelian variety, and p a prime number. Then $\mathcal{X}_p(A/F)$ is self-dual as a $\mathbb{Q}_pG$-representation.*

To begin with, if M is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}G$-module, there is a naturally associated abelian variety $A\otimes M$ over K ([12] §2). When L/K is an intermediate extension, $A\otimes\mathbb{Z}[G/\mathrm{Gal}(F/L)]$ is the Weil restriction of scalars $W_{L/K}A$. An injection of G-modules $\phi : M_1 \to M_2$ with finite cokernel induces a K-isogeny $f_\phi : A\otimes M_1 \to A\otimes M_2$. If A is principally polarised and

M_1 and M_2 are permutation modules, then $A\otimes M_i$ carry induced polarisations, with respect to which the dual isogeny $f_\phi^t:(A\otimes M_2)^t\to(A\otimes M_1)^t$ comes from the transposed matrix ϕ^t in the standard bases ([3] §4.2). In other words, $f_{\phi^t}=(f_\phi)^t$.

An isogeny $f:A\to B$ induces a G-invariant map $X_p(B/K)\to X_p(A/K)$, which is an isomorphism when tensored with $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Following [3] §4, write

$$\begin{aligned}Q(f)= \ & |\operatorname{coker}(f:A(K)/A(K)_{\text{tors}}\to B(K)/B(K)_{\text{tors}})|\ \times\\ & \times\ |\ker(f:\text{Ш}(A)_{\text{div}}\to\text{Ш}(B)_{\text{div}})|\,,\end{aligned}$$

where Ш_{div} denotes the divisible part of Ш. Then $Q(f)$ is multiplicative under composition of isogenies, and its p-part is the size of the cokernel of $f:X_p(B/K)\to X_p(A/K)$.

Recall the Selmer group analogue of the invariance of the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer quotient under isogenies:

Theorem 2.2 ([3] Thm. 4.3)**.** *Let $A,B/K$ be abelian varieties given with regular non-zero exterior forms ω_A,ω_B, and suppose $\phi:A\to B$ is a K-isogeny. Writing $\text{Ш}_0(A/K)$ for $\text{Ш}(A/K)$ modulo its divisible part and*

$$\Omega_A=\prod_{\substack{v|\infty\\ \textit{real}}}\int_{A(K_v)}|\omega_A|\cdot\prod_{\substack{v|\infty\\ \textit{complex}}}\int_{A(K_v)}\omega_A\wedge\bar\omega_A$$

and similarly for B, we have

$$\frac{|B(K)_{\text{tors}}|}{|A(K)_{\text{tors}}|}\frac{|B^t(K)_{\text{tors}}|}{|A^t(K)_{\text{tors}}|}\frac{C(A/K)}{C(B/K)}\frac{\Omega_A}{\Omega_B}\prod_{l|\deg\phi}\frac{|\text{Ш}_0(A)[l^\infty]|}{|\text{Ш}_0(B)[l^\infty]|}=\frac{Q(\phi^t)}{Q(\phi)}.$$

To prove Theorem 2.1, we establish the analogous statement for $\mathcal{X}_p(A\otimes\mathbb{Z}[G]/K)$ in place of $\mathcal{X}_p(A/F)$, and then show that the two are isomorphic.

Theorem 2.3. *Let F/K be a Galois extension of number fields, A/K an abelian variety and p a prime number. Then $\mathcal{X}_p(A\otimes\mathbb{Z}[G])$ is a self-dual* $\mathrm{Gal}(F/K)$*-representation.*

Proof. Let $M=\mathbb{Z}[G]$ and $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{X}_p(A\otimes M/K)$. The idea is that for a self-isogeny

$$f:\ A\otimes M\longrightarrow A\otimes M$$

we have $Q(f)=Q(f^t)$ by Theorem 2.2. We will construct an f whose Q recovers the multiplicity of a given representation in $\mathcal{X}$, and $Q(f^t)$ recovers the multiplicity of its dual.

To begin with, after passing to an isogenous abelian variety if necessary, we may assume A that is principally polarised. We have to show that for every $g\in G$, its eigenvalues on $\mathcal{X}$ come in inverse pairs. Restricting to the subgroup generated by g, we will also assume that G is cyclic.

Let τ_i be the distinct $\mathbb{Q}_p$-irreducible representations of G and write $m_{\tau_i}(\mathcal{X})$ for their multiplicity in $\mathcal{X}$. Choose G-invariant $\mathbb{Z}_p$-sublattices Λ_{τ_i} of $X_p(A\otimes M/K)$ with $\Lambda_{\tau_i}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Q}_p\cong{\tau_i}^{\oplus m_{\tau_i}(\mathcal{X})}$, so $\bigoplus\Lambda_{\tau_i}$ is of finite index.

For $\tau \in \{\tau_i\}$, let $P_\tau = \sum_{g\in G} \mathrm{Tr}(\tau(g))g^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ be "$|G|$ times the projector onto the τ component" operator. Elements in an open neighbourhood U of $|G| + (p-1)P_\tau$ act as $|G|$ times an isomorphism on all Λ_{τ_i} save Λ_τ, where they act by $p|G|$ times an isomorphism. Similarly there is such a neighbourhood U^* for τ^*. Since the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear map on $\mathbb{Q}_p[G]$ determined by $g \to g^{-1}$ for $g \in G$ is continuous and sends P_τ to P_{τ^*}, we can choose $\Phi = \sum_g x_g g \in \mathbb{Z}[G] \cap U$ with $\Phi^* = \sum_g x_g g^{-1} \in U^*$.

Since G is commutative, Φ defines a G-endomorphism ϕ of M. Considering its action on the Λ_{τ_i},

$$Q(f_\phi) = Q(f_{|G|})\, p^{m_\tau(\mathcal{X})\dim\tau}.$$

Also, Φ^* corresponds to ϕ^t in $\mathrm{End}(M)$ with respect to the standard basis of $M = \mathbb{Z}[G]$, so

$$Q(f_{\phi^t}) = Q(f_{|G|})\, p^{m_{\tau^*}(\mathcal{X})\dim\tau^*}.$$

Since $Q(f_\phi)/Q(f_{\phi^t}) = 1$ by Theorem 2.2, $m_\tau(\mathcal{X}) = m_{\tau^*}(\mathcal{X})$. As this holds for all τ, the asserted self-duality follows. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let A/K be an abelian variety, and W the Weil restriction $W_{F/K}A$. There is a canonical isomorphism of G-modules*

$$\mathrm{Sel}_{p^n}(W/K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}_{p^n}(A/F),$$

where G acts on $\mathrm{Sel}_{p^n}(W/K)$ via automorphisms of W/K and on $\mathrm{Sel}_{p^n}(A/F)$ by its usual action on $H^1(F, A[p^n])$. In particular, $\mathcal{X}_p(W/K) \cong \mathcal{X}_p(A/F)$ as G-representations.

Proof. By Milne [13] p.178 (a), we have $W[p^n] = \mathrm{Ind}_K^F A[p^n]$, and the isomorphism given by Shapiro's lemma,

$$H^1(K, \mathrm{Ind}_K^F A[p^n]) \longrightarrow H^1(F, A[p^n]),$$

descends to an isomorphism of Selmer groups. It is easy to check that it is compatible with the G-action. □

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.5. *Let A/K be an abelian variety, and suppose F/K is Galois of odd degree. Then $\mathrm{rk}_p(A/F) \equiv \mathrm{rk}_p(A/K) \mod 2$.*

Proof. Since $G = \mathrm{Gal}(F/K)$ has odd degree, its only self-dual irreducible representation is the trivial one. (Their number coincides with the number of self-inverse conjugacy classes of G, but these have odd order and, except for the trivial class, have no self-inverse elements.) So $\mathcal{X}_p(A/F) \cong \mathcal{X}_p(A/K) \oplus$(even-dimensional representation). □

Remark 2.6. The p-parity conjecture for abelian varieties asserts that $(-1)^{\dim \mathcal{X}_p(A/K)}$ coincides with the root number $w(A/K)$, the (conjectural) sign in the functional equation for $L(A/K, s)$. If F/K is Galois of odd degree, then $w(A/F) = w(A/K)$ (see [17] 3.4.7, 4.2.4), so the p-parity conjecture holds for A/F if and only if it holds for A/K, by the corollary.

Corollary 2.7. *Let A/K be an abelian variety, and suppose F/K is abelian. Let $K(\sqrt{d_i})$ be the quadratic extensions of K in F and write A_i for the corresponding quadratic twists of A. Then*

$$\mathrm{rk}_p(A/F) \equiv \mathrm{rk}_p(A/K) + \textstyle\sum_i \mathrm{rk}_p(A_i/K) \mod 2.$$

Proof. The self-dual irreducible complex representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(F/K)$ are characters of order 1 or 2. □

Theorem 2.8 (Parity Conjecture in abelian extensions). *Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve. For every abelian extension $F/\mathbb{Q}$ and every prime p,*

$$\mathrm{rk}_p(E/F) \equiv \mathrm{ord}_{s=1} L(E/F,s) \mod 2.$$

Proof. With the notation from Corollary 2.7,

$$\mathrm{rk}_p(E/F) \equiv \mathrm{rk}_p(E/K) + \textstyle\sum_i \mathrm{rk}_p(E_i/K) \mod 2.$$

In view of the Parity Conjecture for elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ ([3] Theorem 1.4), the right-hand side agrees with the corresponding analytic ranks. By the functional equation, $\mathrm{ord}_{s=1} L(E,\tau,s) = \mathrm{ord}_{s=1} L(E,\tau^*,s)$ for every character τ of $\mathrm{Gal}(F/K)$, so

$$\mathrm{ord}_{s=1} L(E/F,s) \equiv \mathrm{ord}_{s=1} L(E/\mathbb{Q},s) + \textstyle\sum_i \mathrm{ord}_{s=1} L(E_i/\mathbb{Q},s) \mod 2.$$

□

3. Regulator constants for Selmer groups

The central result of this section is

Theorem 3.1 (=Theorem 1.5). *Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(F/K)$ and let p be a prime number. Suppose A/K is principally polarised, and if $p=2$, assume furthermore that the principal polarisation on A is induced by a K-rational divisor. If $H_i, H'_j < G$ satisfy $\oplus \mathrm{Ind}_{H_i}^G \mathbf{1} \cong \oplus \mathrm{Ind}_{H'_j}^G \mathbf{1}$, then for every non-degenerate G-invariant $\mathbb{Q}_p$-bilinear pairing $\langle,\rangle$ on $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_p(A/F)$,*

$$\mathrm{ord}_p \frac{\prod_i \det\big(\frac{1}{|H_i|}\langle,\rangle \mid \mathcal{X}^{H_i}\big)}{\prod_j \det\big(\frac{1}{|H'_j|}\langle,\rangle \mid \mathcal{X}^{H'_j}\big)} \equiv \mathrm{ord}_p \frac{\prod_i C(A/F^{H_i})}{\prod_j C(A/F^{H'_j})} \mod 2.$$

Proof. Write $S_1 = \coprod_i G/H_i$ and $S_2 = \coprod_j G/H'_j$. Since $\mathbb{Q}[S_1] \cong \mathbb{Q}[S_2]$, there is a G-injection $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[S_1] \to \mathbb{Z}[S_2]$ with finite cokernel, and it induces maps on abelian varieties

$$f_\phi : A \otimes \mathbb{Z}[S_1] \longrightarrow A \otimes \mathbb{Z}[S_2], \qquad f_{\phi^t} : A \otimes \mathbb{Z}[S_2] \longrightarrow A \otimes \mathbb{Z}[S_1].$$

Applying Theorem 2.2 modulo rational squares (see also [3] Cor. 4.5),

$$\mathrm{ord}_p \frac{\prod_i C(A/F^{H_i})}{\prod_j C(A/F^{H'_j})} \equiv \mathrm{ord}_p Q(f_{\phi\phi^t}) \mod 2.$$

It remains to justify the last two steps in the following chain of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ord}_p Q(f_{\phi\phi^t}) &= \operatorname{ord}_p \operatorname{coker}(f_{\phi\phi^t} \mid X_p(A \otimes \mathbb{Z}[S_2])) \\ &= \operatorname{ord}_p \det(f_{\phi\phi^t} \mid \mathcal{X}_p(A \otimes \mathbb{Z}[S_2])) \\ &\overset{Cor. 3.4}{\equiv} \operatorname{ord}_p \det((\phi\phi^t)^* \mid \operatorname{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[S_2], \mathcal{X})) \\ &\overset{Lem. 3.2}{\equiv} \operatorname{ord}_p \frac{\prod_i \det(\frac{1}{|H_i|}\langle,\rangle | \mathcal{X}^{H_i})}{\prod_j \det(\frac{1}{|H'_j|}\langle,\rangle | \mathcal{X}^{H'_j})} \mod 2. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose* S_1, S_2 *are finite* G*-sets, and* $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[S_1] \to \mathbb{Z}[S_2]$ *is a* G*-injection with finite cokernel. Write* $\phi^t : \mathbb{Z}[S_2] \to \mathbb{Z}[S_1]$ *for its transpose in the standard basis. For a* $\mathbb{Q}_pG$*-representation* V*, write*

$$\phi^* : \operatorname{Maps}_G(S_2, V) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Maps}_G(S_1, V)$$

for the pullback of maps, and similarly for ϕ^t *and* $\phi\phi^t$*.*

If V *has a* G*-invariant* $\mathbb{Q}_p$*-bilinear non-degenerate pairing* $\langle,\rangle$*, and we write* $S_1 = \coprod G/H_i, S_2 = \coprod G/H'_j$ *with* $H_i, H'_j < G$*, then*

$$\operatorname{ord}_p \det((\phi\phi^t)^*) \equiv \operatorname{ord}_p \frac{\prod_i \det\bigl(\frac{1}{|H_i|}\langle,\rangle \bigm| V^{H_i}\bigr)}{\prod_j \det\bigl(\frac{1}{|H'_j|}\langle,\rangle \bigm| V^{H'_j}\bigr)} \mod 2.$$

Proof. We may identify

$$V^H = \operatorname{Maps}_G(G/H, V) = \{f : G/H \to V \mid f(g \cdot s) = g \cdot f(s)\}$$

with the map from right to left given by $f \mapsto f(1)$.

If S is a finite G-set, define an inner product on functions $S \to V$ by

$$(f_1, f_2) = \tfrac{1}{|G|} \sum_{s \in S} \langle f_1(s), f_2(s)\rangle.$$

For $S = G/H$ it agrees with the inner product $\frac{1}{|H|}\langle,\rangle$ on V^H via the identification above. Indeed, if $f_1(1) = v_1$ and $f_2(1) = v_2$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1, f_2) &= \tfrac{1}{|G|} \sum_{s \in G/H} \langle f_1(s), f_2(s)\rangle = \tfrac{1}{|G|} \sum_{s \in G/H} \langle s \cdot f_1(1), s \cdot f_2(1)\rangle \\ &= \tfrac{1}{|G|} \sum_{s \in G/H} \langle v_1, v_2\rangle = \tfrac{1}{|H|}\langle v_1, v_2\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for $S_1 = \coprod G/H_i$ (and similarly for S_2),

$$\operatorname{ord}_p \prod_i \det\bigl(\tfrac{1}{|H_i|}\langle,\rangle \bigm| V^{H_i}\bigr) \equiv \operatorname{ord}_p \det\bigl((,) \bigm| \operatorname{Maps}_G(S, V) \bigr) \mod 2.$$

An elementary computation shows that ϕ^* and $(\phi^t)^*$ are adjoint with respect to $(,)$, so picking a basis $\{e_k\}$ of $\operatorname{Maps}_G(S_2, V)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{ord}_p \det\bigl((,) \bigm| \operatorname{Maps}_G(S_1, V) \bigr) \equiv \operatorname{ord}_p \det\bigl((\phi^* e_k, \phi^* e_l)_{k,l}\bigr) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ord}_p \det\bigl((e_k, (\phi^t)^* \phi^* e_l)_{k,l}\bigr) \\ &\equiv \operatorname{ord}_p \det((\phi\phi^t)^*) \det\bigl((,) \bigm| \operatorname{Maps}_G(S_2, V) \bigr) \mod 2. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let A/K, F/K and G be as in Theorem 3.1, and suppose $\phi:\mathbb{Z}[S_1]\to\mathbb{Z}[S_2]$ is an injection of G-permutation modules with finite cokernel. There are natural vertical maps with finite kernels and cokernels that make the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[S_1],\mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}(A/F)) \arrow[r, "\phi^*"] & \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[S_2],\mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}(A/F)) \\
\mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}(A\otimes\mathbb{Z}[S_1]/K) \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "f_\phi"] & \mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}(A\otimes\mathbb{Z}[S_2]/K) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute. Here ϕ^* is the pullback of maps induced by ϕ.

Proof. Writing $S_1=\coprod G/H_i$, $S_2=\coprod G/H'_j$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_G(\prod\mathbb{Z}[G/H_i],H^1(F,A[p^n])) \arrow[r, "\phi^*"] \arrow[d, "\cong", "\mathrm{eval}(1,1,\ldots,1)"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_G(\prod\mathbb{Z}[G/H'_j],H^1(F,A[p^n])) \arrow[d, "\cong", "\mathrm{eval}(1,1,\ldots,1)"'] \\
\prod H^1(F,A[p^n])^{H_i} & \prod H^1(F,A[p^n])^{H'_j} \\
\prod H^1(F^{H_i},A[p^n]) \arrow[u, "\mathrm{Res}"'] & \prod H^1(F^{H'_j},A[p^n]) \arrow[u, "\mathrm{Res}"'] \\
H^1(K,\mathrm{Hom}(\prod\mathbb{Z}[G/H_i],A[p^n])) \arrow[u, "\cong", "\mathrm{eval}(1,1,\ldots,1)"'] \arrow[r, "\phi^*"] & H^1(K,\mathrm{Hom}(\prod\mathbb{Z}[G/H'_j],A[p^n])) \arrow[u, "\cong", "\mathrm{eval}(1,1,\ldots,1)"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

The restriction maps Res have bounded kernels and cokernels with respect to n. Taking the limit (and using a similar local diagram) proves the lemma. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *In the situation of Lemma 3.3, there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[S_1],\mathcal{X}_p(A/F)) \arrow[d, "\cong"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[S_2],\mathcal{X}_p(A/F)) \arrow[l, "(\phi^t)^*"'] \arrow[d, "\cong"'] \\
\mathcal{X}_p(A\otimes\mathbb{Z}[S_1]/K) & \mathcal{X}_p(A\otimes\mathbb{Z}[S_2]/K) \arrow[l, "f_\phi"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Apply Pontryagin duals $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\cdot,\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ to the diagram of Lemma 3.3, and tensor with $\mathbb{Q}_p$. The fact that the Pontryagin duals in the top row are what the corollary asserts they are is general nonsense: for rings R,S and modules $\mathcal{A}_R, {}_R\mathcal{B}_S, \mathcal{C}_S$, there is a natural isomorphism (see e.g. [10] Thm. V.3.1, p.144)

$$\eta:\mathrm{Hom}_S(\mathcal{A}\otimes_R\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})\cong\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathcal{A},\mathrm{Hom}_S(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}))$$

of abelian groups, defined for $h:\mathcal{A}\otimes_R\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{C}$ by $[(\eta h)a](b)=h(a\otimes b)$. Apply this with $R=\mathbb{Z}[G]$, $S=\mathbb{Z}_p$, $\mathcal{A}=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[S_i],\mathbb{Z})$, $\mathcal{B}=\mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}(\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathbb{Z}[S_i]/K)$

and $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. Note that $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[S_1] \to \mathbb{Z}[S_2]$ induces the transpose map

$$\phi^t : \mathbb{Z}[S_2] = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[S_2], \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[S_1], \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[S_1].$$

$\square$

We illustrate the applications of Theorem 1.6 with a few examples. As in the theorem, suppose A/K is a principally polarised abelian variety, F/K a Galois extension, and p a fixed prime. Write m_τ for the multiplicity of τ in $\mathcal{X}_p(A/F)$, and $\Theta = \sum H_i - \sum H'_j$ for a relation $\Theta : \bigoplus_i \mathrm{Ind}_{H_i}^G \mathbf{1} \cong \bigoplus_j \mathrm{Ind}_{H'_j}^G \mathbf{1}$.

Example 3.5. Suppose p is an odd prime and $G = \mathrm{Gal}(F/K) \cong D_{2p}$ is dihedral. Let M, L be intermediate fields of degree 2 and p over K, respectively. The group G has three $\mathbb{Q}_p$-irreducible representations, which are all self-dual: trivial $\mathbf{1}$, sign ϵ and $(p–1)$-dimensional ρ. There is a unique (up to multiples) relation between permutation representations,

$$\Theta = \{1\} - \ 2\,\mathrm{Gal}(F/L) - \mathrm{Gal}(F/M) + 2\,G.$$

A simple computation shows that $\mathcal{C}(\Theta, \mathbf{1}) = \mathcal{C}(\Theta, \epsilon) = \mathcal{C}(\Theta, \rho) = p$, so $\mathcal{S}_\Theta = \{\mathbf{1}, \epsilon, \rho\}$. By Theorem 1.6,

$$m_{\mathbf{1}} + m_\epsilon + m_\rho \equiv \mathrm{ord}_p \frac{C(A/F)C(A/K)^2}{C(A/M)C(A/L)^2} \equiv \mathrm{ord}_p \frac{C(A/F)}{C(A/M)} \mod 2.$$

Example 3.6. Suppose p is an odd prime and $G = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & * \end{smallmatrix}\right) \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Let M, L be intermediate fields of degree $p-1$ and p over K, respectively. The group G has $p-1$ one-dimensional representations, all of which are realisable over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and factor through $\mathrm{Gal}(M/K)$; write ϵ for the one of order 2. The only other irreducible representation ρ of G has dimension $p-1$ and can be realised over $\mathbb{Q}$. There is a relation between permutation representations,

$$\Theta = \{1\} - \ (p-1)\,\mathrm{Gal}(F/L) - \mathrm{Gal}(F/M) + (p-1)\,G.$$

Here $\mathcal{S}_\Theta = \{\mathbf{1}, \epsilon, \rho\}$, and Theorem 1.6 implies

$$m_{\mathbf{1}} + m_\epsilon + m_\rho \equiv \mathrm{ord}_p \frac{C(A/F)C(A/K)^{p-1}}{C(A/M)C(A/L)^{p-1}} \equiv \mathrm{ord}_p \frac{C(A/F)}{C(A/M)} \mod 2.$$

Example 3.7. Suppose p is an odd prime, and consider

$$B = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{smallmatrix}\right), U_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \square & * \\ 0 & * \end{smallmatrix}\right), U_2 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & \square \end{smallmatrix}\right) \quad < \quad G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p),$$

where $\square$ stands for non-zero squares. An elementary computation with double cosets shows that

$$\begin{array}{lcl} B\backslash G/U_i = B\backslash G/B & = & \{B, G \setminus B\} \\ U_i\backslash G/U_i & = & \{U_i, B \setminus U_i, G \setminus B\} \\ U_1\backslash G/U_2 & = & \{B, \Sigma, \Sigma'\} \end{array}$$

with Σ and Σ' the sets of matrices $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $c(bc-ad)$ non-zero square and non-square, respectively. Since $\langle \mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathbf{1}, \mathrm{Ind}_{H'}^G \mathbf{1} \rangle = |H\backslash G/H'|$,

$$\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \sigma, \quad \mathrm{Ind}_{U_1}^G \mathbf{1} = \mathrm{Ind}_{U_2}^G \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \sigma \oplus \rho,$$

where σ (Steinberg) is irreducible of dimension p, and ρ irreducible of dimension $p+1$. In particular,

$$\Theta = U_1 - U_2$$

is a relation between permutation representations. We will compute the regulator constants $\mathcal{C}(\Theta, \tau)$ for this relation.

If τ is not $\mathbf{1}$, σ or ρ, then by Frobenius reciprocity

$$\dim \tau^{U_i} = \langle \mathbf{1}_{U_i}, \operatorname{Res}_{U_i} \tau \rangle = \langle \mathbf{1} + \sigma + \rho, \tau \rangle = 0,$$

so $\mathcal{C}(\Theta, \tau) = 1$. On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{C}(\Theta, \mathbf{1}) = 1, \quad \mathcal{C}(\Theta, \sigma) = 1, \quad \mathcal{C}(\Theta, \rho) = p.$$

To see this, it suffices to verify that $\mathcal{C}(\Theta, \mathbf{1}) = \mathcal{C}(\Theta, \operatorname{Ind}_B^G \mathbf{1}) = 1$ and $\mathcal{C}(\Theta, \operatorname{Ind}_{U_1}^G \mathbf{1}) = p$. These are permutation representations, so they come with the standard "identity" pairing $\langle , \rangle$. It satisfies

$$\det\bigl(\tfrac{1}{|N|}\langle , \rangle | (\operatorname{Ind}_H^G \mathbf{1})^N\bigr) = \prod_{x \in N\backslash G/H} \tfrac{|NxH|}{|N||H|},$$

which is easy to compute from the explicit description of double cosets.

By Theorem 1.6, for any principally polarised abelian variety A/K,

$$\operatorname{rk}_p(A/F^{U_1}) - \operatorname{rk}_p(A/F^B) = m_\rho \equiv \operatorname{ord}_p \frac{C(A/F^{U_1})}{C(A/F^{U_2})} \mod 2.$$

Note that although F^{U_1} and F^{U_2} are arithmetically equivalent fields (they have the same zeta-function), the right-hand side need not be 0. For instance, take an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{Q}$ of prime conductor $l \neq p$ and split multiplicative reduction at l, $p \nmid \operatorname{ord}_l j(E)$, l a primitive root modulo p, and $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[p])/\mathbb{Q}) = \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) = G$. (E.g. take $E = X_1(11)$, $p = 3$). Then the decomposition and inertia subgroups of l in G are

$$D = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad I = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

It is easy to see that l decomposes in F^{U_1} and F^{U_2} as

$$l = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2^p \mathfrak{p}_3^p \qquad \text{and} \qquad l = \mathfrak{q}_1 \mathfrak{q}_2^p \mathfrak{q}_3$$

with $\mathfrak{p}_1$ and $\mathfrak{q}_2$ of residue degree 2 and the rest of residue degree 1 over l. So

$$C(E/F^{U_1}) = p^2 \cdot c_l(E/\mathbb{Q})^3, \qquad C(E/F^{U_2}) = p \cdot c_l(E/\mathbb{Q})^3,$$

and m_ρ is therefore odd.

References

[1] B. J. Birch, N. M. Stephens, The parity of the rank of the Mordell-Weil group, Topology 5 (1966), 295–299.

[2] S. Bosch, Q. Liu, Rational points of the group of components of a Néron model, Manuscripta Math. 98 (1999), no. 3, 275–293.

[3] T. Dokchitser, V. Dokchitser, On the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer quotients modulo squares, 2006, arxiv: math.NT/0610290.

[4] T. Dokchitser, V. Dokchitser, Regulator constants and the parity conjecture, 2007, arxiv: 0709.2852.

[5] R. Greenberg, On the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, Invent. Math. 72, no. 2 (1983), 241–265.

[6] R. Greenberg, Trivial zeros of p-adic L-functions, Contemporary Math. 165 (1994), 149–174.

[7] A. Grothendieck, Modèles de Néron et monodromie, LNM 288, Séminaire de Géométrie 7, Exposé IX, Springer-Verlag, 1973.

[8] L. Guo, General Selmer groups and critical values of Hecke L-functions, Math. Ann. 297 no. 2 (1993), 221–233.

[9] B. D. Kim, The Parity Theorem of Elliptic Curves at Primes with Supersingular Reduction, Compositio Math. 143 (2007) 47–72.

[10] S. MacLane, Homology, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1995. (Reprint of the 1975 ed.)

[11] B. Mazur, K. Rubin, Finding large Selmer ranks via an arithmetic theory of local constants, arxiv: math.NT/0512085, to appear in Annals of Math.

[12] J. S. Milne, On the arithmetic of abelian varieties, Invent. Math. 17 (1972), 177–190.

[13] J. S. Milne, Arithmetic duality theorems, Perspectives in Mathematics, No. 1, Academic Press, 1986.

[14] P. Monsky, Generalizing the Birch–Stephens theorem. I: Modular curves, Math. Z., 221 (1996), 415–420.

[15] J. Nekovář, Selmer complexes, Astérisque 310 (2006).

[16] J. H. Silverman, Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves, GTM 151, Springer-Verlag 1994.

[17] J. Tate, Number theoretic background, in: Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions, Part 2 (ed. A. Borel and W. Casselman), Proc. Symp. in Pure Math. 33 (AMS, Providence, RI, 1979) 3-26.

Robinson College, Cambridge CB3 9AN, United Kingdom
E-mail address: `t.dokchitser@dpmms.cam.ac.uk`

Gonville & Caius College, Cambridge CB2 1TA, United Kingdom
E-mail address: `v.dokchitser@dpmms.cam.ac.uk`